

(3)CHAD WELLS.

(4)

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

· · 	
Date of Interview: 29 January 2010.	
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]

Claim(s) discussed: 5.

If Yes, brief description:

(1) MATTHEW A. JOHNSON.

(2)

Identification of prior art discussed: Namiki (USP-4.382.389) and Umeyama (USP-6.080.199).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Sicussed the claim amendment in midston to the prior art in order to claim the limitation regarding the "tochold interference clearance". Further discussed the merits of rejection and the continuation of result effective variables.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLIDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE UNITERVIEW, USE WIFEP Sector 730.4 M is easy to be last office action has already been fled, APPLICANT IS GVEN A NOW-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE OR THE MAIL MIG DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. SHAMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview.

/MATTHEW A JOHNSON/ Examiner, Art Unit 3656 U.S. Petent and Tredemark Office

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPDP), Section 113.04, Substance of Interview Most be Made of Record
A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, wideo conference, or belighbore interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examine was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete witten statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135 (35.U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR 61.2 Business to be transacted in writing

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal disendance of applicants or their altomays or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged only promise, sploutation, or understanding in reliation to white there is disagreement or doubt.

_

The action of the Patent and Trademank Office cannot be based exclusively on the writien record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of an interview. It is the responsibility of the applicant or the alterney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the applicant or the alterney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the applicant of the applicant or the alterney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the applicant of the applicant of the applicant of the applicant or the applicant of the applica

Searment must conside an interior Summer Form for each interior had when a matter of including his best objected during the interior by protecting the proporation bears of eating in the interior by protecting the proporation bears of eating in the interior for homeous regularization of promoduler matter, directly only protecting matter, and protecting matter of the interior matter of the interior

The interview Gurmany Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and lated on the Content's section of the file relapper. In a personal interview, a objective of the Form given the supplication of control provided provided on the provided pr

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Senes Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant

which bear directly on the question of patentability.

- Name of examiner
 Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contain;
 - The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)
- It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of the or her obligation to record the substance of the Interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the Interview untest includies, or a supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the rateriew.
 - A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable rtems:
 - 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
 - 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
 - an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
 - an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.
 - a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not
 - required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general relative or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be undestroot in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
 - a general indication of any other perfinent malters discussed, andfi appropriate, the general results or outcome of the intensiew unless already described in the intensiew Summary Form completed by the examiner
- the examiner.

 Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paner recording the substance of the interview alone with the date and the examiner's initials.